दिल्ली पुलिस में भारतीय पुलिस सेवा अधिकारी और खान-पान वैनों के अनापत्ति प्रमाणपत्रों का रद्द किया जाना

1082. **श्री रशीद मसूद** : क्या गृह मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

(क) पिछले तीन वर्षों के दौरान दिल्ली पुलिस में कितने आई० पी० एस० अधिकारियों को उनके विरुद्ध प्रतिकूल रिपोर्ट के बावजूद भी पदोन्नत किया गया ;

(ख) दिल्ली पुलिस के ऐसे अधिकारियों और कर्मचारियों की संख्या कितनी है जिन्हें पिछले वर्ष सतर्कता विभाग द्वारा की गयी जांच के बाद निलम्बित कर दिया गया था परन्तु उसके बाद तीन महीने के भीतर उन्हें बहाल कर दिया गया था ; और

(ग) इस वर्ष में अभी तक दिल्ली यातायात पुलिस अधीक्षक द्वारा कितनी खान-पान वैनों के "अनापत्ति प्रमाणपत्र" रद्द किए गए हैं ; और उसके क्या कारण हैं ?

कार्मिक, लोक शिकायत और पेंशन मंत्रालय में राज्य मंत्री तथा गृह मंत्रालय में राज्य मंत्री (श्री पी०चिदम्बरम्) : (क) एक।

(ख) तीन।

(ग) खानपान वाहनों के लिए "अनापत्ति प्रमाण-पत्र" जारी करने/उनका नवीनीकरण करने के लिए प्राप्त हुए 124 आवेदनों में से 12 को, निर्धारित स्थान की बजाय अन्यत्र गाड़ी खड़ी करने, यातायात परिस्थितियों में परिवर्तन अथवा शोर शराबा अस्वास्थ्यकर परिस्थितियों की शिकायतों जैसी अनापत्ति प्रमाण-पत्र की शर्तों का उल्लंघन करने के आधार पर रद्द कर दिया गया है ।

Reforms in Succession Act

1083. SHRI M. VINCENT: Will the Minister of LAW AND JUSTICE be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government propose to introduce reforms in the Succession Act; and

(b) if so, whether the Act will be made uniformly applicable to all citizens of our country without any discrimination on the grounds of caste, religion, race, or sex?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF LAW AND JUSTICE (SHRI HANS RAJ BHARDWAJ): (a) No, Sir.

(b) Does not arise.

Steps to contain inflation

1084. SHRI M. VINCENT: Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleased to state:

(a) the first ten centres, which have recorded highest rates of inflation for the year ending December 1989;

(b) whether Government have analysed the various causes for such high inflation in these centres; and

(c) what measures are taken to contain inflation at a moderate rate in the coming years?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC AFFAIRS IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI EDUARDO FALEIRO): (a) to (c) On the presumption that the hon. Member is seeking information

for the period ending December 1988 the requisite information is as under:

Centrewise Inflation Rates

(in terms of CPI for Industrial Workers)

(Base : 1960-100)

Centre	Rate of Inflation (Dec. 88 over Dec. 87)
Rangapare (Assam)	26.2
Labac (Assam)	21.2
Chikmaglur (Karnataka)	18.1
Gudur (Andhra Pradesh)	15.6
Gwalior (Madhya Pradesh)	15.2
Calcutta (West Bengal)	13.7
Guntur (Andhra Pradesh)	13.6
Alleppey (Kerala)	12.5
Amritsar (Punjab)	12.3
Monghyr (Bihar)	11.7
ALL INDIA :	8.8

The extent of variation between centres largely reflects the differences in centre specific demand and supply pressures. The Government have adopted a package of measures to moderate the price rise. These includes steps to encourage higher production, supply of essential commodities through the public distribution system, strengthening the network of public distribution, augmentation of supplies through imports, as needed, observance of strict monetary and fiscal discipline, and close monitoring of prices of essential commodities.

Show cause notice issued by Collector Central excise Patna on M|s. I.T.C. Limited

1085. SHRI VIRENDRA VERMA: Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the Collector Central Excise, Patna, *vide* show cause notice dated 3rd July, 1987 reopened some of the issues in respect of despatches from the factory of M|s ITC Limited at Monghyr on account of short levy of Central Excise Duty amounting to Rs. 43.48 crore besides penalties;

(b) whether similar notices dated 25th September, 1987, 21st October, 1987, 27th January, 1988 and 27th July, 1988 were served by Collector Central Excise, Bangalore, Calcutta, Bombay, Meerut respectively alleging short levy of Central Excise Duty in respect of despatched from ITC Limited factories at Bangalore, Kiderpore, Parel and Saharanpur;

(c) whether it is also a fact that such show cause notices were served on M|s. I.T.C. Limited after obtaining the opinion of the Ministry of Law in the matter; and

(d) if so, what are the details of the recoveries made so far, stating the reasons for non-recovery of the amount in full?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI AJIT PANJA): (a) Yes, Sir. However in the show cause notice dated 3-7-1987, the amount involved is Rs. 39.82 crores only. There is another show cause notice dated 2-7-1987 on the same issue involving evasion to the tune of Rs. 4.06 crores.

(b) Similar show cause notices, alleging evasion of Central Excise duty in respect of other factories of M|s I.T.C.